


CREDIT OPINION

31 July 2019

 Rate this Research

Contacts

Alexandra  +1.415.274.1754
 Cimmiyotti
 VP-Sr Credit Officer
 alexandra.cimmiyotti@moodys.com

Michael  +1.212.553.3830
 VP-Senior Analyst
 michael.wertz@moodys.com

CLIENT SERVICES

Americas 1-212-553-1653
 Asia Pacific 852-3551-3077
 Japan 81-3-5408-4100
 EMEA 44-20-7772-5454

Los Angeles County, CA

Update to credit analysis

Summary

Los Angeles County (Aa1, stable) has a massive assessed value that stands at an all-time high at \$1.6 trillion in fiscal 2020 as well as a large and diverse economy. The county's sound financial operations are well managed and supported by healthy reserve levels that will continue to be stable given management's prudent fiscal practices. The county's debt burden is modest. Rising pension and OPEB costs will remain budgetary challenges, however county management has taken progressive actions to increase funding for these long-term liabilities.

Credit strengths

- » Exceptionally large tax base poised for additional growth
- » Large and diverse economy
- » Sound financial position supported by healthy reserves and cash
- » Strong management team

Credit challenges

- » Unfunded pension and Other Post Retirement Benefits (OPEB) liabilities representing long term, but manageable, risks for the county
- » Department of Health Services' (DHS) reliance on the county's support for operations, while considerably manageable, could fluctuate given the volatility of the health care industry
- » Growing costs for homeless services; recognizing Measure H provides a growing funding source to address this challenge

Rating outlook

The stable outlook reflects the county's large and diverse tax base, which is expected to experience ongoing moderate growth. The outlook is also inclusive of the county's sound financial position with reserves expected to be maintained within current levels.

Factors that could lead to an upgrade

- » Strong financial performance that contributes to sustained growth in reserves and cash
- » Significantly improved wealth indicators of county residents
- » Sizeable decrease in pension and OPEB liabilities

Factors that could lead to a downgrade

- » Significant deterioration in the county's financial position
- » Inability to effectively manage retirement costs
- » Weakened hospital operations that materially increase reliance on the county's general fund

Key indicators

Exhibit 2

Los Angeles (County of) CA	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Economy/Tax Base					
Total Full Value (\$000)	\$1,085,743,686	\$1,146,946,428	\$1,218,549,286	\$1,287,688,313	\$1,366,276,412
Population	10,069,000	10,192,000	10,240,000	10,278,000	10,328,000
Full Value Per Capita	\$107,830	\$112,534	\$118,999	\$125,286	\$132,289
Median Family Income (% of US Median)	95.2%	95.0%	95.5%	96.4%	96.4%
Finances					
Operating Revenue (\$000)	\$15,210,968	\$15,457,073	\$16,192,098	\$17,083,590	\$17,871,298
Fund Balance (\$000)	\$2,872,559	\$2,948,546	\$3,060,999	\$3,398,212	\$3,706,202
Cash Balance (\$000)	\$2,020,888	\$2,770,039	\$3,255,581	\$4,208,725	\$4,446,230
Fund Balance as a % of Revenues	18.9%	19.1%	18.9%	19.9%	20.7%
Cash Balance as a % of Revenues	13.3%	17.9%	20.1%	24.6%	24.9%
Debt/Pensions					
Net Direct Debt (\$000)	\$1,585,747	\$1,668,075	\$1,875,593	\$1,802,056	\$1,746,547
3-Year Average of Moody's ANPL (\$000)	\$25,351,862	\$27,658,863	\$25,984,797	\$30,354,366	\$34,151,562
Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x)	0.1x	0.1x	0.1x	0.1x	0.1x
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Full Value (%)	2.3%	2.4%	2.1%	2.4%	2.5%
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Revenues (x)	1.7x	1.8x	1.6x	1.8x	1.9x

Source: Moody's Investors Service and Los Angeles County's Audits

Profile

Los Angeles County is the largest county in the nation both by tax base (\$1.6 trillion assessed value) and population (10.3 million). The county has a large and diverse economy and the unemployment rate is 4.9%, which is slightly above the state (4.8%) and US (4.4%).

Detailed credit considerations

Taxbase and Economy: massive tax base benefits from large and diverse economy

The county's extremely large assessed value (AV) continues to exhibit strong growth and reached an all-time high of \$1.6 trillion in fiscal 2020, which is by far the largest of any county in the nation. We expect healthy AV growth to continue given ongoing residential and commercial development coupled with the mechanics of Prop 13. The county's five year average annual AV growth is strong at 7.1% which significantly exceeds the national median at 1.5%. AV per capita is healthy at \$148,132, and as expected with the county's diverse tax base, the 20 largest taxpayers comprise an extremely low 3.0% of total fiscal AV.

The county's growing economy is not only a catalyst for regional economic expansion and job creation but remains an economic engine for the state. The county's economy is larger than 45 states and all but 17 countries. Los Angeles County's Gross Domestic Product (GDP) grew to \$807 billion in 2018 from \$629 billion in 2016, and represents 27.3% of the state's GDP and 3.9% of the United States' GDP. Los Angeles County's unemployment rate at 4.9% is slightly above the state (4.8%) and U.S. (4.4%) rates and is significantly down from its peak of 12.6% in 2010.

The county's wealth levels continue to approximate nationwide medians. Estimated 2017 median family income is 96.4% of the US and compared to Aa-rated large counties, these levels are relatively low, but satisfactory for the rating given the county's other credit strengths.

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moody.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

Financial Operations and Reserves: healthy financial position will remain stable

We expect the county's trend of strong financial operations to continue, given management's prudent fiscal practices and commitment to maintaining reserves within current levels. In fiscal 2018, the county generated its sixth consecutive general fund surplus, increasing the available general fund balance to \$3.7 billion or a strong 19.9% of general fund revenues. Available operating fund balance, which includes the general and debt service funds was also strong at 20.7% of operating revenues. The county's surplus was primarily due to expenditures coming in below budget across several departments. Based on estimated financial results for fiscal 2019, the county's management team expects to generate a surplus and reserves will remain in-line with the prior year. Given management's standing track record of outperforming budget projections, the current estimates are reasonable.

The adopted fiscal 2020 budget includes a modest increase of 1.5% over the prior year's adopted budget and is based on reasonable revenue assumptions. The fiscal 2020 contribution to the county's rainy day fund is \$39 million which will bring the balance to \$601.9 million (9.4% of ongoing discretionary revenue). The county is on track to reach its 10% target for this reserve in fiscal 2022. In addition, management is committed under its budget policies to fund ongoing costs with ongoing revenues, to not backfill cuts for programs funded by the State or Federal government and to continue its practice of funding reserves for its unfunded liabilities. These practices support our expectation of continued stable financial operations.

One of the county's major expense items involves healthcare for the county's indigent population, provided through its Department of Health Services (DHS). DHS is heavily reliant on its operating subsidy, which comprises roughly one-third of total DHS revenues. Positively, DHS has recently become less reliant on county general fund support for operations. The county's general fund fiscal 2020 contribution is \$346.3 million, which is slightly up from the prior year at \$342.9 million yet just 1.3% of 2020 county general fund revenues. The DHS working capital loan balance owed to the county increased to \$456.3 million in fiscal 2019 from \$109.6 million, which is largely due to an advance caused by a two-year delay in the Centers for Medicaid and Medicare Services approval for key components of new revenue programs. Operations remain stable at DHS and management projects fund balance to be \$1.1 billion of which \$527.0 million is booked as a receivable expected to be received in September 2020. DHS has benefited from the Affordable Care Act as well as management's strong internal controls and commitment to maximize efficiencies.

LIQUIDITY

Similar to the county's reserve position, we expect liquidity to remain healthy. The county's fiscal 2018 operating cash balance was \$4.4 billion or a strong 24.9% of operating revenues.

Debt and Pensions: low debt burden; moderate pension burden

Including the county's upcoming bond offering (Lease Revenue Bonds 2019 Series E-1&E-2), the county's net direct burden remains low at 0.2% of AV. The above-average overall debt burden of 2.7% of AV, compared to the nationwide median of 1.9% AV for Aa1 counties, reflects the large amount of debt of the overlapping jurisdictions, mainly Los Angeles Unified School district. We expect that the county's debt burden will remain modest given our expectation that the county's AV will continue to moderately grow in the medium term.

DEBT STRUCTURE

The county's outstanding long-term debt consists of approximately \$1.7 billion in lease revenue bonds and certificates of participation.

DEBT-RELATED DERIVATIVES

The county does not have any debt-related derivatives.

PENSIONS AND OPEB

The county provides pension benefits for employees that is administered by the Los Angeles County Employees Retirement Association. Its adjusted net pension liability, based on a 3.87% discount rate, was \$37.5 billion in fiscal 2018. In comparison, the county reported a GASB net pension liability of \$8.9 billion, based on a 7.38% discount rate. While pension costs will continue to increase and remain a budgetary pressure, the county is well positioned to address this challenge given its sound financial position.

Management created an OPEB trust in 2012, and the fair market value of assets is approximately \$1.1 billion (as of March 31, 2019). The county's adjusted net OPEB liability is \$24.4 billion in fiscal 2018 or an elevated 1.36 times operating revenues. The Board of Supervisors adopted a funding plan commencing in fiscal 2016 that would enable the county to fully fund its annual contribution

(\$2.7 billion) by fiscal 2028. The county remains on target in meeting this goal and the fiscal 2020 budget includes a \$246.2 million appropriation in addition to its pay-go contribution for OPEB.

The county's fixed costs, including debt service, pension and OPEB contributions, amounted to 11% of total operating revenues, which is manageable in relation to the county's overall operations.

Management and Governance

INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK

California counties have an Institutional Framework score of "A", or moderate. California counties' major revenue sources are determined by the state government or, for the most part, can only be raised with voter approval. Ad valorem property tax rates cannot be increased above 1% except to meet GO bond payments, and assessed valuation growth is also generally limited to 2% annually unless a property changes ownership. Unpredictable revenue fluctuations tend to be moderate, or between 5-10% annually. Across the sector, fixed and mandated costs are generally less than 25% of expenditures. Unpredictable expenditure fluctuations tend to be moderate, between 5% and 10% annually.

OPERATING HISTORY

The county's five-year operating ratio is 1.0 times, indicative of management's conservative budgeting practices and strong financial policies.

Rating methodology and scorecard factors

The US Local Government General Obligation Debt methodology includes a scorecard, a tool providing a composite score of a local government's credit profile based on the weighted factors we consider most important, universal and measurable, as well as possible notching factors dependent on individual credit strengths and weaknesses. Its purpose is not to determine the final rating, but rather to provide a standard platform from which to analyze and compare local government credits.

Exhibit 2

Los Angeles (County of) CA

Rating Factors	Measure	Score
Economy/Tax Base (30%) ^[1]		
Tax Base Size: Full Value (in 000s)	\$1,456,853,756	Aaa
Full Value Per Capita	\$141,059	Aa
Median Family Income (% of US Median)	96.4%	Aa
Notching Factors: ^[2]		
Institutional Presence		Up
Other Analyst Adjustment to Economy/Taxbase Factor: Large and diverse economy; 27.3% of CA's GDP		Up
Finances (30%)		
Fund Balance as a % of Revenues	20.7%	Aa
5-Year Dollar Change in Fund Balance as % of Revenues	5.9%	A
Cash Balance as a % of Revenues	24.9%	Aa
5-Year Dollar Change in Cash Balance as % of Revenues	15.2%	Aa
Management (20%)		
Institutional Framework	A	A
Operating History: 5-Year Average of Operating Revenues / Operating Expenditures	1.0x	A
Notching Factors: ^[2]		
Unusually Strong or Weak Budgetary Management and Planning		Up
Debt and Pensions (20%)		
Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)	0.2%	Aaa
Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x)	0.1x	Aaa
3-Year Average of Moody's Adjusted Net Pension Liability / Full Value (%)	2.3%	A
3-Year Average of Moody's Adjusted Net Pension Liability / Operating Revenues (x)	1.9x	A
Notching Factors: ^[2]		
Standardized Adjustments [3]: Unusually strong or weak security features: Secured by statute		Up
Other		
Credit Event/Trend Not Yet Reflected in Existing Data Sets: Elevated unfunded OPEB liability		Down
	Scorecard-Indicated Outcome	Aa1
	Assigned Rating	Aa1

[1] Economy measures are based on data from the most recent year available

[2] Notching factors are specifically defined in the US Local Government General Obligation Debt Methodology dated December 16, 2016

[3] Standardized adjustments are outlined in the CO Methodology Scorecard Inputs Updated for 2019 publication

Source: US Census Bureau and Moody's Investors Service

© 2019 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE MOODY'S RATING SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLICATION FOR INFORMATION ON THE TYPES OF CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS ADDRESSED BY MOODY'S RATINGS. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, PRICE VOLATILITY, CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT OR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF YOU DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK THAT IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures to ensure that the information it uses in assigning credit ratings is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein to the extent of their inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers has advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss of or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) in the part of, or any contingent party within or beyond their control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein to the extent of their inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), thereby disclosing that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock listed by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment to any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,000 to approximately \$2,700,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody.com under the heading "Investor Relations - Corporate Governance - Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited (ABN 11 03 99 99 57 AFSL 36969) and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd (ABN 49 05 36 72 AFSL 83569) (as applicable), or his document is intended to be provided only to wholesale clients within the meaning of section 61G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a wholesale client and that either you or the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document to its contents to retail clients within the meaning of section 61G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit ratings and opinion are not the creditworthiness of the debt obligation of the issuer, nor of the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan K.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's of Japan K.K. ("MSF") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSF is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSF are Non-NRSRO credit ratings. Non-NRSRO credit ratings are assigned by an entity that is not an NRSRO and, consequently, the related obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSF are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are SF SA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 12 and 13 respectively.

MJKK or MSF (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock listed by MJKK or MSF (as applicable) have, prior to assignment to any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSF (as applicable) for ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY 125,000 to approximately JPY 250,000,000.

MJKK and MSF also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

CLIENT SERVICES

Americas	1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific	852-3551-3077
Japan	81-3-5408-4100
EMEA	44-20-7772-5454