

# MOODY'S

## INVESTORS SERVICE

### New Issue: Moody's assigns MIG 1 rating to Los Angeles County's, CA 2013-14 TRANS

---

Global Credit Research - 02 Jun 2013

#### **\$1.0 billion in short term debt affected**

LOS ANGELES (COUNTY OF) CA  
Counties  
CA

#### **Moody's Rating**

<b>ISSUE</b>	<b>RATING</b>
2013-14 Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes, Series B	MIG 1
<b>Sale Amount</b> \$700,000,000	
<b>Expected Sale Date</b> 06/04/13	
<b>Rating Description</b> Note: Tax and/or Revenue Anticipation	

2013-14 Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes, Series A	MIG 1
<b>Sale Amount</b> \$300,000,000	
<b>Expected Sale Date</b> 06/04/13	
<b>Rating Description</b> Note: Tax and/or Revenue Anticipation	

#### **Moody's Outlook**

##### **Opinion**

NEW YORK, June 02, 2013 --Moody's Investors Service has assigned MIG 1 ratings to Los Angeles County's combined \$1.0 billion issue of 2013-14 Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes, Series A and 2013-14 Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes, Series B. The Notes are issued to fund the County's cash flow needs and are secured by a pledge of unrestricted, fiscal 2014 general fund receipts. Series A, (\$300 million) is expected to mature at the end of February, 2014; and Series B, (\$700 million) at the end June, 2014.

##### **Key Credit Strengths**

- Moderate amount of note borrowing
- Annual note issuer
- In last eleven years actual cash flow results have been better than projections
- Conservative projections with reasonable assumptions
- Abundant alternate liquidity

##### **Key Credit Challenges**

- Significant revenue dependence on the state
- General Fund Cash balance for 2014 is projected to be 1.5% of receipts

##### **RATINGS RATIONALE**

The key credit strengths reflected in the rating include the early setting aside for Note repayment, the robust

coverage levels during the months of the set-asides by receipts in those months, the manageable size of the Note relative to estimated 2014 receipts. Also key to the ratings is the relatively long history of conservative projections wherein actual year-end cash balances exceed projections and, critically, a significant amount of readily accessible alternate liquidity.

The key credit weakness is the relatively narrow general cash position in which the County's general fund is projected to find itself at the end of 2014. However, this weakness is mitigated by the abundance of the County's available liquidity outside of the cash flows, which is available to be drawn upon and not repaid until the following year. Also reflected in the rating are the County's still strong overall financial position, a proposed budget based on reasonable assumptions, and the Board's demonstrated willingness to make significant program cuts when necessary to maintain balanced operations.

The County still faces a number of notable long-term challenges, particularly in the health department, but these do not affect the credit quality of its Note issues for the upcoming fiscal year. The County's budget takes into account the State's Proposed Budget and its May revisions whose combined effect on the County in fiscal 2014 is easily manageable. In 2013 the County was able to keep the net County cost of the state budget cuts to essentially zero as it maintained the practice of not backfilling any programs which were affected by state funding cuts. We believe that such budgetary discipline would be helpful in minimizing the erosion of the County's cash position, as the estimated cash drawdown in the current year and the projected drawdown in 2014 indicate depletion of general fund cash. In fact, the current rating relies heavily on the County's cash resources which are excluded from the cash flows but are available for short term borrowing.

#### OPERATING FUND LIQUIDITY REMAINS NARROW BUT OVERALL LIQUIDITY IS STRONG

In 2012, the most recent year for which there are final cash flows, the County reversed a four year trend of declines and recorded a cash increase. The cash surplus of \$249 million increased the year-end balance to \$817 million or 4.6% of receipts. While this balance remains somewhat low compared to pre-recession levels, its increase from the previous year may indicate that the County's cash position is beyond its recession driven low point.

The improved cash balance is also notable because it underscores the inherent conservative nature of the County's projections. The County's ending balances have historically outperformed projections and for 2012 the original projection called for a cash decrease of \$195 million, which would completely deplete the cash position.

In the current fiscal year the County's cash position appears to be headed for another decrease, aggravated by loans from the general fund to the hospital system. The current projections, which reflect actual results through April 2013, show a cash decrease of only \$158 million for the year, instead of the previously projected decrease of \$398 million. The projected June 30, 2013 cash balance is estimated at \$659 million or 4.0% of receipts, which is higher than the previously projected ending balance of 0.3% of receipts. Also, the total cash decline of \$158 million is \$150 million better than the initially projected drawdown of \$308 million; the starting cash position for 2013 was \$461 million higher than previously projected, leading to the year ending estimate that is \$611 million higher than originally projected. While the current estimates for 2013 are significantly better than the original projections, they are based on only ten months of actual results and May and June cash flows continue to reflect conservative assumptions. Therefore, past experience suggests that the estimated cash decrease of \$158 million for 2013 may still be overly conservative and the final results may show a cash surplus.

The County's 2014 cash flow are developed based on the same methodology as in previous years and they are likely to be similarly conservative. The projected ending balance of \$261 million is only 1.5% of receipts and indicates a decrease of \$398 million. Neither of these figures are favorable, but they are significant improvement over the initial projections from recent years. If the past pattern of conservative projections holds, actual results are likely to be much stronger. Overall, total receipts for fiscal 2014, are projected to be \$19 million more than in 2013, and this does not include any net draws on alternate liquidity. Total disbursements are estimated to be \$277 million higher. These changes combine for a cash decline of \$398 million compared to \$158 million cash decrease in 2013.

Significant assumptions in the 2014 cash flow include 0.2% decrease in property tax receipts, which may be conservative as the Assessed Value (AV) is likely to increase by 4%. Similarly, all other receipts are assumed to be largely flat. On the expenditure side, welfare warrants are expected to remain flat, and salaries and benefits are expected to increase by \$285 million, or 3.9%. If these results are not achieved, the County asserts that it would make cuts in other areas.

It is notable that while the County has eliminated nearly three thousand positions in recent years, there have been

no actual lay-offs or furloughs.

While the County's general fund cash position has suffered in recent years, its overall financial health has remained generally strong on a GAAP basis. The audited 2012 general fund balance stood at \$2.6 billion or 18.5% of revenues. Despite the significant decline in cash, the 2013 general fund balance is currently estimated at \$2.5 billion or closer to 17.5% of revenues. This is a preliminary estimate and the final results are likely to show a small increase over the previous year. If this were to come to pass, it would be the county's first GAAP based GF surplus in five years, reversing the previous four-year trend on declines.

#### AMPLE COURT-VALIDATED ALTERNATE LIQUIDITY

As the current projections stand, the County estimates a substantial, but decreasing cash deficit at fiscal year-end 2014 without draws on its alternate liquidity. The projected ending balance of just \$261 million includes no borrowing from sources outside the general fund. The funds identified by the County for such support were challenged in court and the County prevailed. The estimated alternate liquidity balance for June 2014 is \$1.46 billion, and equal 8.6% of projected 2014 receipts.

The County estimates that a substantial portion of the identified alternate liquidity is comprised of funds that are held in trusts prior to transfer to the general fund. For example, for the 2013-14 year end, the estimated amount of these general fund bound funds is greater than \$576 million, which would enhance the estimated surplus of \$261 million. In fact the County estimates that if such funds were included in the cash flows, the projections would show no monthly deficits.

#### EARLY SET-ASIDE AND STRONG COVERAGE DURING SET-ASIDE MONTHS

The County's Note issues are manageable at 5.9% of projected fiscal 2014 receipts. While the end-of year balances are important to assess the County's overall cash position for the year, also important for our analysis is the cash performance in months when the funds for Note repayment are set aside. The 2014 cash flows indicate the following schedule for setting aside funds for Note repayment: December, 2013, \$350 million; January, 2014, \$300 million; February, 2014, \$100 million; March, 2014, \$50 million and April, 2014, \$200 million. The weighted average for these set asides is a very early 5.1 months prior to the end of the fiscal year. However, the notes will be issued as two separate series with maturities on February 28 and June 30, 2014. For the February maturity the funds will be set-aside by December, 2013. Funds for the final, June maturity, will be set aside by April, 2014. Also importantly, as the TRANs have first claim on unrestricted revenues of the County, coverage ratios with unrestricted general fund receipt in these months ranges from 3.6x in December to 5.6x in April.

What Could Help The Rating Go UP

NA

What Could Help The Rating Go DOWN

Significantly higher than anticipated reduction of cash position

Significant lowering of the outstanding long term rating

#### KEY STATISTICS

Projected Amount Borrowed as % of Receipts, FY 2014: 5.9%

Actual Ending Cash as% of Receipts, FY 2012: 4.6%

Projected Ending Cash as% of Receipts, FY 2013: 4.0%

Alternate Liquidity Projected at 6/30/14 : \$1.46 billion

Alternate Liquidity % of FY 2014 receipts: 8.6%

The principal methodology used in this rating was Short-Term Cash Flow Notes published in April 2013. Please see the Credit Policy page on [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) for a copy of this methodology.

#### REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides certain regulatory

disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com).

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

Please see [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

#### **Analysts**

Kevork Khrimian  
Lead Analyst  
Public Finance Group  
Moody's Investors Service

Robert Azrin  
Additional Contact  
Public Finance Group  
Moody's Investors Service

#### **Contacts**

Journalists: (212) 553-0376  
Research Clients: (212) 553-1653

Moody's Investors Service, Inc.  
250 Greenwich Street  
New York, NY 10007  
USA

**MOODY'S**  
INVESTORS SERVICE

© 2013 Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

**CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY**

**ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.**

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable, including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. Each user of the information contained herein must make its own study and evaluation of each security it may consider purchasing, holding or selling. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for retail clients to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.