

Tax Supported  
New Issue

## Los Angeles County, California

**Ratings****New Issues**

2011–2012 Tax and Revenue  
Anticipation Bonds,  
Series A–C F1+  
Implied General Obligation Bonds AA–

See page 2 for Outstanding Debt.

**Rating Outlook**

Stable

**Analysts**

Alan Gibson  
+1 415 732-7577  
[alan.gibson@fitchratings.com](mailto:alan.gibson@fitchratings.com)

Amy Laskey  
+1 212-980-0568  
[amy.laskey@fitchratings.com](mailto:amy.laskey@fitchratings.com)

**New Issue Details**

**Sale Information:** Up to \$1,500,000,000  
2011–2012 Tax and Revenue  
Anticipation Bonds, Series A–C the  
week of June 13 via negotiation.

**Security:** Unrestricted general fund  
revenue received in fiscal 2012, including  
requirements to set aside the first such  
funds received during specified time  
periods for note repayment.

**Purpose:** Provide moneys to help meet  
fiscal 2012 general fund expenditures.

**Final Maturity:** Series A notes: Feb. 29,  
2012; series B notes: March 30, 2012;  
series C notes: June 20, 2012.

**Related Research**

For information on Build America Bonds,  
visit [www.fitchratings.com/BABs](http://www.fitchratings.com/BABs).

**Applicable Criteria**

- *Tax-Supported Rating Criteria, Aug. 16, 2010*
- *U.S. Local Government Tax-Supported Rating Criteria, Oct. 8, 2010*

**Other Research**

- *Los Angeles County Public Works Financing Authority, California, Oct. 26, 2010*
- *Fitch Rates Los Angeles County, CA's \$1.5B TRANS 'F1+'; Affirms Lease Ratings at 'A+' and 'A', June 9, 2010*

**Rating Rationale**

- Los Angeles County's projected cash flow provides strong coverage of periodic note set-asides by the pledged first dollars received, as well as after monthly expenditures when borrowable resources are included.
- The county has vast, court-verified borrowable resources available for use by the general fund.
- The note repayment structure is strong, using periodic set-asides with full principal and interest restricted well in advance of note maturity.
- As in previous years, the state's fiscal imbalance presents some uncertainty regarding the upcoming fiscal year's budget and cash flow.
- The county's vast economy is diverse and mature, with evident cyclical vulnerability.
- Financial operations are well managed with significant reserves, and the five-year extension of the federal section 1115 waiver should somewhat alleviate the pressure placed on the general fund by the Department of Health Services' (DHS) structural deficit.
- Nevertheless, finances remain vulnerable to state funding reductions, realignment of potentially underfunded state functions to the county, and heavy social service expenditures.
- While the county has a low net direct debt burden, it also has heavy investment losses to absorb in its pension system, a costly retiree medical program, and a large other post-employment benefits (OPEB) unfunded accrued actuarial liability.

**Key Rating Drivers**

- Strong note principal and interest coverage by pledged revenue, and by month-end cash balances when including borrowable resources.
- Full note principal and interest set-aside well in advance of note maturity.
- Continuation of good management practices, including retaining a sound general fund balance, thereby enabling the county to handle upcoming fiscal challenges.
- Ability to achieve long-term fiscal balance at the county's DHS, with permanent solutions and affordable general fund support.
- Development of an affordable plan to handle the sizable OPEB liability.

**Credit Summary**

Fitch Ratings' 'F1+' rating reflects the sound note repayment structure, strong coverage of all note repayment set-asides when borrowable funds are included, and the large size of the borrowable resources relative to the set-aside amounts. The repayment deposit structure sets aside 100% of principal and interest well in advance of each note maturity.

## Rating History — TRANs

Rating	Action	Outlook/ Watch	Date
F1+	Assigned	—	6/9/11

## Rating History — Implied GO Bonds

Rating	Action	Outlook/ Watch	Date
AA-	Assigned	Stable	6/9/11

## Rating History — COPs and Lease Revenue Bonds (County)

Rating	Action	Outlook/ Watch	Date
A+	Affirmed	Stable	6/9/11
A+	Revised	Stable	4/30/10
A	Affirmed	Stable	7/29/04
A	Upgraded	—	5/23/00
A-	Downgraded	—	6/21/95
A+	Assigned	—	1/14/93

## Rating History — COPs (Department of Public Social Services Facility)

Rating	Action	Outlook/ Watch	Date
A	Affirmed	Stable	6/9/11
A	Revised	Stable	4/30/10
A-	Upgraded	Stable	1/16/04
BBB+	Assigned	—	12/30/98

The notes are secured by a first lien on unrestricted revenue received between December 2011 and April 2012 (projected \$6.6 billion), which is projected to cover note principal and interest 4.3x. Funds for repayment will be set aside based on an aggressive schedule beginning in December 2011. By February 2012, 75% of the estimated principal and 100% of the estimated interest due will be impounded; the full amount will be set aside by April 2012. The projected cash flow covers all five set-asides well when the county's vast borrowable resources are included. However, Fitch notes that the fiscal 2012 projected cash flow remains weaker than in past years, mostly reflecting fund balance reductions and ongoing large loans to DHS to compensate for delayed state reimbursements. The county estimates that it will end six months with negative cash balances, requiring large intrafund borrowings. The county's pool of resources available for intrafund loans is projected to average \$2.3 billion on a daily basis. While the county currently projects that it will require \$221 million in intrafund borrowings to ensure a positive cash balance at June 30, 2012, Fitch notes that the county has a long history of outperforming its initial cash flow projections, and that about one-third of the borrowable cash is later allocated to the general fund.

The projected cash flow is based on the county's fiscal 2012 budget, which does not incorporate the state's May revised budget proposals, which are not expected to impact the general fund significantly. The county's cash flow, when borrowable funds are included, holds up well to severe stress scenarios. The county expects that it will not backfill state services that are reduced or eliminated, in line with its customary practice.

The 'F1+' rating also reflects the county's long-term credit quality. The implied GO rating of 'AA-' reflects the county's diverse and mature economy, sound financial reserves, prudent management efforts to achieve fiscal balance, and low direct debt burden balanced by ongoing and sizable financial pressures. These pressures stem from: a heavy social service spending burden, coupled with ongoing state funding uncertainty; the continued fiscal imbalance in the county's DHS; and a costly retiree medical program. Nonetheless, the county's reserve levels remain above average, providing a needed financial cushion, and DHS pressures are being partially alleviated by the five-year extension of the federal section 1115 waiver.

Economic indicators show the recession's impact, including stubbornly high unemployment at 12.2% in March 2011 for the county, down only marginally from 12.4% in March 2010. While the residential property market is showing signs of stabilizing, the

## Outstanding Debt

<b>Los Angeles County</b> Certificates of Participation, Series 1993 Disney Parking Project	A+
<b>Los Angeles County Capital Asset Leasing Corporation</b> Edmund D. Edelman Children's Court Lease Revenue Bonds, Series 2002A	A+
Lease Revenue Bonds (LAC-CAL Equipment Program), Series 2009A	A+
<b>Los Angeles County Public Works Financing Authority</b> Lease Revenue Bonds, Series 2005 (Calabasas Landfill Project)	A+
Lease Revenue Bonds (Multiple Capital Projects I), 2010 Series A (Tax-Exempt) and Series B (Build America Bonds/Recovery Zone Economic Development Bonds)	A+
<b>City of Los Angeles (Exposition Park West Asset Leasing Corporation)</b> Certificates of Participation, Series 1999A and 1999B (Department of Public Social Services Facility)	A
<b>Sonnenblick-Del Rio El Monte Asset Leasing Corporation</b> Senior Certificates of Participation, Series 1999 (Department of Public Social Services Facility)	A
Senior Certificates of Participation, Series 2001 (Department of Public Social Services Facility — Phase II)	A
<b>Sonnenblick-Del Rio West Los Angeles Leasing Corporation</b> Senior Certificates of Participation, Series 2000 (Department of Public Social Services Facility)	A

nonresidential property market continues to decline. However, due to the county's highly developed and mature nature, assessed value losses have been relatively low at a 0.5% decrease for fiscal 2010 and a 1.9% decrease for fiscal 2011, indicating a significant Proposition 13 cushion. The county assessor is projecting an approximately 1% TAV increase in fiscal 2012.

While the general fund continues to generate operating surpluses, building up a sizable general fund balance, the county had a moderate net deficit in fiscal 2010 for the second consecutive year. As a result, its fiscal 2010 unreserved general fund balance decreased to \$2.2 billion (15.8% of spending) from \$2.6 billion (18.7% of spending) the year prior. Further erosion is anticipated at fiscal 2011 year-end, due to one-time budget solutions, with a projected unreserved general fund balance of at least \$1.6 billion. Nevertheless, the county expects that its two main reserves, the rainy day fund reserve (\$93.2 million) and the provisional financing uses economic reserve (\$82.9 million), will remain at current levels through fiscal 2012. The county intends to increase these reserves once the economy and budget situation improve.

Despite anticipated slight revenue increases from property tax, sales tax, and vehicle licensing fees, the fiscal 2012 budget has to solve a \$221 million general fund gap with a fourth year of departmental curtailments and consolidations (16%) and one-time solutions such as transferred capital program funds and a retiree health insurance premium refund (84%). From fiscal 2012 onwards, the county budget will benefit from the freeing up of \$372 million annually since the county's pension obligation bonds finally mature in fiscal 2011.

The county continues to focus on the need to achieve fiscal balance in its health delivery system, given its historical reliance on significant general fund support (\$750 million in fiscal 2010) and advances (approximately \$1 billion outstanding) and the difficulty of reducing spending due to the size of the population served, its significant medical needs, and overcapacity. DHS's medium-term financial balance has been improved significantly by a new section 1115 waiver permitting the federal government to provide matching grants for Medi-Cal services that would otherwise be ineligible. This waiver, worth approximately \$300 million per year, is effective through Oct. 31, 2015 and represents more predictable cash flows. It will assist the county in bridging the gap until federal healthcare reform is implemented in fiscal 2014 by expanding coverage, improving the payor mix, and providing new funding for system improvements. For fiscal 2012, it does not completely resolve the projected DHS deficit, so DHS needs to achieve \$136 million in cost savings and/or additional revenue generation.

The county's other sizable financial challenge relates to its unfunded pension and OPEB liabilities. As of June 30, 2010 the county's pension unfunded actuarial accrued liability was \$7.8 billion, due to heavy investment losses in fiscal 2009, and the funded ratio was 83%. While a further \$6.2 billion of deferred investment losses will be recognized over the next three fiscal years, these should be partially offset by recent improved investment returns. Nonetheless, the county's cash contributions to its pension system continue to grow, to a projected \$1.1 billion in fiscal 2012, compared to \$803 million in fiscal 2010. Due to the county's conservative pension benefits structure, no new cost containment initiatives are being discussed for the county's pension system. The county also has a \$22.9 billion liability for OPEB, which it is beginning to address. The county is in the process of establishing an OPEB trust, using about \$470 million in excess pension fund earnings and \$17 million set aside in fiscal 2007. With the county's annually required OPEB contribution estimated at \$1.8 billion, more than four times the current pay-as-you-go expense, Fitch views the OPEB funding effort as important to the county's long-term fiscal stability.

The net direct debt burden is low at \$150 per capita and 0.1% of market valuation. Including overlapping debt, the debt burden is a moderate \$3,354 per capita and 2.9% of market valuation. Debt amortization is above-average at 78.6% retiring in 10 years.

The 'A+' ratings for the above-listed certificates of participation and lease revenue bonds reflect that their securities, county lease payments, are subject to annual appropriation and abatement. The 'A' ratings for those certificates of participation issued to fund construction of public social services facilities reflect added non-appropriation risk, since the county will not own those facilities upon lease maturity.

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: [HTTP://FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](http://FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS). IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT [WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM](http://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM). PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE.

Copyright © 2011 by Fitch, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. One State Street Plaza, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings, Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion is based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at anytime for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of Great Britain, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.